

CLINICAL PREDICTION RULES: DIAGNOSIS

Evidence-Based Clinical Prediction Rules Used
in Physical Therapy Practice to Predict the
Probability of a Specific Disease or Outcome



MSK CLINICAL PREDICTION RULES/DIAGNOSTIC CLUSTERS

<u>REGION</u>	<u>JOINT</u>	<u>PATHOLOGY OR NEED FOR RADIOGRAPHS</u>	<u>CLUSTER</u>
Upper Extremity	Shoulder	Anterior Instability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+) Apprehension Test • (+) Relocation Test • (+) Anterior Drawer Test
		Rotator Cuff Tear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 65 • Night pain • Weakness in external rotation
		Rotator Cuff Tear (Full-Thickness)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 60 • (+) Drop Arm Test • (+) Infraspinatus MMT • (+) Painful Arc
		Subacromial Impingement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+) Hawkins-Kennedy Test • (+) Infraspinatus MMT • (+) Painful Arc
	Wrist	Carpal Tunnel Syndrome	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 45 • Shaking hands to relieve symptoms • Wrist-ratio index > 0.67 • Decreased sensation in thumb • Symptom Severity Scale > 1.9
Spine	Cervical	Canadian Cervical Spine Rules (need for radiographs)	<p>HIGH RISK FACTORS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 65 • Dangerous MOI • Paresthesia in extremities <p>LOW RISK FACTORS:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simple rear-end MVA • Normal sitting posture in ER • Ambulatory since injury • Delayed onset of neck pain and absence of midline tenderness <p><i>**If (1) high risk factor or (2) low risk factors AND the inability to actively rotate the neck > 45° bilaterally are present, radiographs are indicated</i></p>
		Cervical Closed Fracture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age < 55 • Single • Trauma • Acute • ER visit
		Cervical Myelopathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 45 • Gait deviation • (+) Hoffman's Test • (+) Inverted Supinator Test • (+) Babinski Test
		Cervical Radiculopathy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+) Distraction Test • (+) Spurling's Test • (+) ULTT A • < 60° rotation to involved side

MSK CLINICAL PREDICTION RULES/DIAGNOSTIC CLUSTERS

Spine	Lumbar	Compression Fracture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 52 • BMI < 22 • Female • No leg pain • No regular exercise OR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 70 • Female • Significant trauma (major in young patients or minor in elderly patients) • Prolonged use of corticosteroids
		Spinal Stenosis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 48 • Bilateral leg symptoms • Leg pain > back pain • Pain during walking/standing • Pain relief upon sitting
Pelvis	Sacroiliac	Joint Pain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+) Compression Test • (+) Distraction Test • (+) FABER Test • (+) Gaenslen's Test • (+) Sacral Thrust Test • (+) Thigh Thrust Test
Lower Extremity	Hip	Osteoarthritis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Squatting is aggravating factor • (+) Scour Test • Passive hip IR < 25° • Active hip flexion causes lateral hip pain • Active hip extension causes hip pain
	Knee	MCL Tear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trauma by external force to leg • Rotational trauma • Pain with valgus stress test at 30° • Laxity with valgus stress test at 30°
		Meniscus Tear	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History of locking or catching • Joint line tenderness • Pain with forced hyperextension of knee • Pain with maximal passive knee flexion • Pain or audible click with McMurray's Test
		Ottawa Knee Rules (need for radiographs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age > 55 • Tenderness at head of fibula • Isolated tenderness of patella during palpation • Inability to flex knee to 90° • Inability to WB immediately and in ER
		Pittsburgh Knee Rules (need for radiographs)	Blunt trauma or a fall AND (≥1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age < 12 or > 50 • Ambulate < 4 steps in ER
	Ankle/Foot	Ottawa Ankle Rules (need for radiographs)	Presence of post-traumatic ankle pain AND (≥1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTP at tip of distal 6 cm of the posterior aspect of either malleolus • Ambulate < 4 steps immediately and in ER
		Ottawa Foot Rules (need for radiographs)	Presence of post-traumatic midfoot pain AND (≥1): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TTP over proximal 5th metatarsal or navicular bone • Ambulate < 4 steps immediately and in ER

NON-MSK CLINICAL PREDICTION RULES/DIAGNOSTIC CLUSTERS

PATHOLOGY	CLUSTER
<p>Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+1) Active cancer (patient receiving treatment for cancer within the previous 6 months or currently receiving palliative treatment) • (+1) Paralysis, paresis, or recent plaster immobilization of the lower extremities • (+1) Recently bedridden for 3 days or more, or major surgery within the previous 12 weeks requiring general or regional anesthesia • (+1) Localized tenderness along the distribution of the deep venous system • (+1) Entire leg swollen • (+1) Calf swelling at least 3 cm larger than that on the asymptomatic side (measured 10 cm below tibial tuberosity) • (+1) Pitting edema confined to the symptomatic leg • (+1) Collateral superficial veins (non-varicose) • (+1) Previously documented DVT • (-2) Alternative diagnosis at least as likely as DVT <p>0: Low Probability 1-2: Moderate Probability > 3: High Probability</p>
<p>Pulmonary Embolism (PE)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (+1) Age 65 years or over • (+2) Surgery or fracture within 1 month • (+2) Active malignant condition • (+2) Haemoptysis • (+3) Previous DVT or PE • (+3) Unilateral lower limb pain • (+3) Heart rate 75 to 94 bpm • (+4) Pain on deep palpation of lower limb and unilateral edema • (+5) Heart rate 95 or more bpm <p>< 4: Low Probability 4-10: Moderate Probability > 10: High Probability</p>

REFERENCES:

1. Farber AJ, et al. Clinical assessment of three common tests for traumatic anterior shoulder instability. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2006; 88-A(7): 1467-1474.
2. Park HB, Yokota A, Gill HS, El Rassi G, McFarland EG. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical tests for the different degrees of subacromial impingement syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2005;87(7):1446-1455. doi:10.2106/JBJS.D.02335
3. Litaker D, Piro M, El Bilbeisi H, Brems J. Returning to the bedside: using the history and physical examination to identify rotator cuff tears. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2000;48(12):1633-1637. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb03875.x
4. Park HB, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of clinical tests for the different degrees of subacromial impingement syndrome. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2005; 87(7): 1446-55.
5. Wainner RS, et al. Development of a clinical prediction rule for the diagnosis of carpal tunnel syndrome. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005; 86(4): 609-18.
6. Stiell IG, Wells GA, Vandemheen KL, et al. The Canadian C-spine rule for radiography in alert and stable trauma patients. *JAMA*. 2001;286(15):1841-1848. doi:10.1001/jama.286.15.1841
7. Cook CE, Sizer PS, Isaacs RE, Wright A. Clinical identifiers for detecting underlying closed cervical fractures. *Pain Practice*. 2014; 14(2): 109–116.
8. Cook CE, et al. Clustered clinical findings for diagnosis of cervical spine myelopathy. *J Man Manip Ther*. 2010; 18(4): 175-180.
9. Wainner RS, et al. Reliability and diagnostic accuracy of the clinical examination and patient self-report measures for cervical radiculopathy. *Spine*. 2003; 28(1): 52-62.
10. Waldrop MA. Diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy using a clinical prediction rule and a multimodal intervention approach: a case series. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2006; 36(3): 152-9.
11. Roman M, Brown C, Richardson W, Isaacs R, Howes C, Cook C. The development of a clinical decision making algorithm for detection of osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture or wedge deformity. *J Man Manip Ther*. 2010;18(1):44-49. doi:10.1179/106698110X12595770849641
12. Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, et al. Prevalence of and screening for serious spinal pathology in patients presenting to primary care settings with acute low back pain. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2009;60(10):3072-3080. doi:10.1002/art.24853
13. Cook C, Brown C, Michael K, Isaacs R, Howes C, Richardson W, Roman M, Hegedus E. The clinical value of a cluster of patient history and observational findings as a diagnostic support tool for lumbar spine stenosis. *Physiother Res Int*. 2011; 16(3): 170-8.
14. Laslett M, Young SB, Aprill CN, McDonald B. Diagnosing painful sacroiliac joints: A validity study of a McKenzie evaluation and sacroiliac provocation tests. *Aust J Physiother*. 2003;49(2):89-97. doi:10.1016/s0004-9514(14)60125-2
15. van der Wurff P, Buijs EJ, Groen GJ. A multitest regimen of pain provocation tests as an aid to reduce unnecessary minimally invasive sacroiliac joint procedures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;87(1):10-14. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2005.09.023
16. Sutlive TG, et al. Development of a clinical prediction rule for diagnosing hip osteoarthritis in individuals with unilateral hip pain. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 2008; 38(9): 542-550.
17. Kastelein M, Wagemakers HPA, Luijsterburg AJ, Verhaar JAN, Koes BW, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA. Assessing medial collateral ligament knee lesions in general practice. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 2008; 121(11): 982-988.
18. Lowery DJ, et al. A clinical composite score accurately detects meniscal pathology. *Arthroscopy*. 2006; 22(11): 1174-9.
19. Stiell IG, Greenberg GH, Wells GA, et al. Prospective validation of a decision rule for the use of radiography in acute knee injuries. *JAMA*. 1996;275(8):611-615.
20. Seaberg DC, Jackson R. Clinical decision rule for knee radiographs. *Am J Emerg Med*. 1994;12(5):541-543. doi:10.1016/0735-6757(94)90274-7
21. Beckenkamp PR, Lin C-WC, Macaskill P, Michaleff ZA, Maher CG, Moseley AM. Diagnostic accuracy of the Ottawa Ankle and Midfoot Rules: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2017; 51(6): 504-510. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096858
22. Wells PS, et al. Value of assessment of pretest probability of deep-vein thrombosis in clinical management. *Lancet*. 1997; 350: 1795-1798.
23. Shen JH, et al. Comparison of the Wells score with the revised Geneva score for assessing suspected pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of thrombosis and thrombolysis*. 2015;41(3):482-492. doi:10.1007/s11239-015-1250-2